Final Report Supplement to Remote Sensing and Modeling of Coherent Structures in River and Estuarine Flows

Andrew T. Jessup Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington 1013 NE 40th St., Seattle, WA 98105-6698

phone: (206) 685-2609 fax: (206) 543-6785 email: jessup@apl.washington.edu

Robert L. Street, Stephen G. Monismith Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4020

Alexander R. Horner-Devine Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-2700

Award Number: N00014-10-1-0425

This grant provided follow-on support for the FY05 MURI designated COHSTREX (award number N00014-05-1-0485). As such, this final report is similar to the COHSTREX final report.

LONG-TERM GOALS

The long-term goals of this research were to combine state-of-the-art remote sensing and *in situ* measurements with advanced numerical modeling (a) to characterize coherent structures in river and estuarine flows and (b) to determine the extent to which their remotely sensed signatures can be used to initialize and guide predictive models.

OBJECTIVES

Coherent structures are generated by the interaction of the flow with bathymetric and coastline features. These coherent structures produce surface signatures that can be detected and quantified using remote sensing techniques. Furthermore, a number of relationships between coherent structures and flow characteristics have been suggested that have the potential to allow flow parameters (e.g. mean velocity, bottom roughness, shear, and turbidity) to be inferred from remote measurements. The objectives were to test the following four hypotheses:

- 1. Flow parameters can be inferred from remotely sensed signatures of coherent structures.
- 2. Numerical models can be constrained with these inferred parameters.
- 3. The effect of stratification on the strength of coherent structures can be used to detect the presence or absence of stratification and the location of the fresh/salt water interface.
- 4. Numerical and field experiments can be used together to predict, interpret, characterize, and understand coherent structures.

APPROACH

The key to this project was an interactive process that blended sophisticated remote sensing, insitu measurements, and numerical simulation. Our approach was to conduct closely coupled field and numerical model experiments to test the hypotheses listed above. We conducted two major

field experiments with both in situ and remote sensing measurements – the first was in Year 2 and the second in Year 4. Preliminary experiments were conducted in Years 1 and 3 to aid in the design of the major field efforts. The research involved four main areas - (1) in situ measurements, (2) remote sensing, (3) modeling, and (4) physics and classification of coherent structures. The in situ field measurements were used to characterize the overall flow field to investigate the generation of coherent structures at specific sites, and initially, to provide boundary inputs for the numerical models. The surface signatures of coherent structures in the same region were detected using remote sensing techniques and compared with the in situ and model results. The numerical models served three roles, viz., (1) precursor simulations in which existing bathymetry and assumed regional forcing will allow us to guide the measurement plans, (2) detailed simulations of both the region and specific local areas for comparison to fielddetermined coherent structures, and (3) simulations to aid in characterizing the mechanisms by which observed coherent structures are formed, to evaluate the sensitivity of these generation mechanisms to variations in forcing, and to predict the surface signature that such structures generate. Results from the in situ field observations, remote sensing, and numerical model runs were synthesized into a classification scheme that included all observed coherent structures. Predictive scaling relationships were developed in order to generalize the results from this study to other systems. The result of this integrated approach were a thorough investigation of the mechanisms and evolution of coherent structures in rivers and estuaries in order to link their surface expressions to subsurface flow features.

The project participants were organized into teams identified by the main areas of interest listed above: <u>Remote Sensing</u>: A. Jessup, W. Plant (APL-UW),; <u>Modeling</u>: R. Street and O. Fringer (Stanford); <u>In situ Measurements</u>: S. Monismith and D. Fong (Stanford); <u>Physics and Classification</u>: A. Horner-Devine.

The project supported one MS student (Brownyn Hayward, UW-CEE), two PhD students (Sarah Giddings and Bing Wang, Stanford-CEE), and two postdoctoral fellows (Chris Chickadel, APL-UW, and Mike Barad, Stanford-CEE).

To date, the project has produced 7 published and 2 submitted peer-reviewed journal articles, 1 MS thesis, and 2 PhD theses. We anticipate that at least 3 additional manuscripts will be submitted to peer-reviewed publications within the next year.

The results of the project to date are summarized in the following publications:

Articles in Peer-Reviewed Journals: Published

- 1. Chickadel, C., S. Talke, A. Horner-Devine, and A. Jessup (2011), Infrared based measurements of velocity, turbulent kinetic energy, and dissipation at the water surface in a tidal river, *Geosci. Rem. Sen. Let.*, *in press*.
- 2. Chickadel, C. C., A. R. Horner-Devine, S. A. Talke, and A. T. Jessup (2009), Vertical boil propagation from a submerged estuarine sill, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36.

- 3. Giddings, S. N., D. A. Fong, and S. G. Monismith (2011b), Role of straining and advection in the intratidal evolution of stratification, vertical mixing, and longitudinal dispersion of a shallow, macrotidal, salt wedge estuary, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 116.
- 4. Plant, W. J., et al. (2009), Remotely sensed river surface features compared with modeling and in situ measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 114.
- 5. Talke, S. A., A. R. Horner-Devine, and C. C. Chickadel (2010), Mixing layer dynamics in separated flow over an estuarine sill with variable stratification, *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*, 115.
- 6. Wang, B., O. B. Fringer, S. N. Giddings, and D. A. Fong (2009), High-resolution simulations of a macrotidal estuary using SUNTANS, Ocean Modelling, 28(1-3), 167-192.
- 7. Wang, B., et al. (2010), Modeling and understanding turbulent mixing in a macrotidal salt wedge estuary, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116.

Articles in Peer-Reviewed Journals: In Review

- 1. Giddings, S. N., et al. (2011a), Frontogenesis and frontal progression of a trapping-generated estuarine convergence front and its influence on mixing and stratification, *Estuaries and Coast*, *in review*.
- 2. Wang, B., G. Zhao, and O. Fringer (2011), Reconstruction of vector fields for semi-Lagrangian advection on unstructured, staggered grids, *Ocean Modelling*, *in review*.

Dissertations

- 1. Giddings, S. (2010), Dynamics of a shallow, macrotidal, strongly stratified estuary, PhD Dissertation, Stanford University.
- 2. Hayworth, B. (2007), Observations of Tidally Generated Coherent Structures in the Snohomish River Estuary, MS Dissertation, University of Washington.
- 3. Wang, B. (2011), Multiscale numerical simulation of a complex macrotidal tidal-river estuary, PhD Dissertation, Stanford University.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any person that the collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMR control number.

			it does not display a currently va IE ABOVE ADDRESS.	alid OMB control nun	nber.		
1. REPORT DA	· ·	ORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
	05-2011		Final Repo	ort		1 January 2010 to 31 March 2011	
4. TITLE AND	SUBTITLE				5a. COI	NTRACT NUMBER	
Final Report Supplement to Remote Sensing and Modeling of Coherent Structures in River and Estuarine Flows							
					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
Structures in rever and Estuarine Flows					N00014-10-1-0425		
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
o. Actionol							
Andrew T. Jessup					5e. TASK NUMBER		
Robert L. Street					Se. TASK NOWIBER		
Stephen G. Monismith							
Alexander R. Horner-Devine					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZATI	ON NAME(S) AN	ND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
Applied Physics Laboratory - University of Washington						REPORT NUMBER	
1013 NE 40th Street							
Seattle, WA 98105-6698							
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)						10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
Office of Naval Research (ONR 32)						ONR	
875 North Randolph Street Arlington, VA 22203-1995						11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
Affiligion, VA 22203-1993						NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT							
Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited							
rr r							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
None							
14. ABSTRACT							
Coherent structures are generated by the interaction of the flow with bathymetric and coastline features. These coherent structures							
produce surface signatures that can be detected and quantified using remote sensing techniques. Furthermore, a number of							
relationships between coherent structures and flow characteristics have been suggested that have the potential to allow flow							
parameters (e.g. mean velocity, bottom roughness, shear, and turbidity) to be inferred from remote measurements. The objectives							
were to test the following four hypotheses: 1. Flow parameters can be inferred from remotely sensed signatures of coherent							
structures. 2. Numerical models can be constrained with these inferred parameters. 3. The effect of stratification on the strength of							
coherent structures can be used to detect the presence or absence of stratification and the location of the fresh/salt water interface.							
4. Numerical and field experiments can be used together to predict, interpret, characterize, and understand coherent structures.							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
Coherent Structures, Infrared, River, Estuary							
16. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE			ABSTRACT	OF	Andrew T. Jessup		
U	U	U	UU	PAGES	19b. TEL	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

- 1. REPORT DATE. Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.
- **2. REPORT TYPE.** State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.
- 3. DATES COVERED. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May Nov 1998; Nov 1998.
- **4. TITLE.** Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.
- **5a. CONTRACT NUMBER.** Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.
- **5b. GRANT NUMBER.** Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.
- **5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER.** Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.
- **5d. PROJECT NUMBER.** Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.
- **5e. TASK NUMBER.** Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.
- **5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER.** Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.
- 6. AUTHOR(S). Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.
- 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned

by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

- 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.
- **10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S).** Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.
- 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.
- 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT. Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.
- **13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.
- **14. ABSTRACT.** A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.
- **15. SUBJECT TERMS.** Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.
- **16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.** Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.
- 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.